UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Judge Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S FORTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

Upon review of the forty-fifth objection to claims (Docket # 11163, the "Objection"), of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 to the Objection; and this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court finding that this is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court finding that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held by the Court on June 15, 2106; and any objections or other responses to the Objection having been overruled; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Objection is sustained, to the extent of the relief provided in this Order.

13-53846-tjt Doc 11301 Filed 06/20/16 Entered 06/20/16 12:16:09 Page 1 of 3

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

- 2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection is disallowed and expunged in its entirety, under Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, except for (a) claim number 3154 of Ranna K. Trivedi; (b) claim number 3345 of Warren T. Duncan; (c) claim number 3072 Stephanie Crews; (d) claim number 3203 of Sandra O'Neal; and (e) claim number 2482 of Renee' Tillman. The City's objections to the proofs of claim identified in subparagraphs (a) (d) of this paragraph were previously adjourned for further hearing to be held on August 31, 2016 at 1:30 p.m., under this Court's order at Docket # 11265. The City's objections to the proof of claim identified in subparagraph (e) of this paragraph is submitted for decision by the Court, under the terms of this Court's order filed on June 16, 2016 at Docket # 11292.
- 3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
- 4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted by this Order in accordance with the Objection.
- 5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order will be deemed and constitute a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, constitutes a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order will apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and for which such stay has been granted or may be in effect, and will not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered, and further provided

that the City will have the right, but not the need, to submit a separate order with respect to

contested matters or claims.

6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on the merits or any other basis, to any

of the claims identified on Exhibit 2.

7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such

objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are

satisfied by such notice.

8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, will constitute or will be deemed to

constitute the City's consent, under section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's

interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the

property or revenues of the City, or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing

property.

Signed on June 20, 2016

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker

Thomas J. Tucker

United States Bankruptcy Judge